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CODE OF PRACTICE FOR
DESIGN LOADS (OTHER THAN EARTHQUAKE)
FOR BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES

PART 4 SNOW LOADS

(Second Revision)

0. F O R E W O R D

0.1 This Indian Standard (Part 4) (Second Revision) was adopted by the Bureau of Indian Standards on 9 November 1987, after the draft finalized by the Structural Safety Sectional Committee had been approved by the Civil Engineering Division Council.

0.2 A building has to perform many functions satisfactorily. Amongst these functions are the utility of the building for the intended use and occupancy, structural safety, fire safety; and compliance with hygienic, sanitation, ventilation and daylight standards. The design of the building is dependent upon the minimum requirements prescribed for each of the above functions. The minimum requirements pertaining to the structural safety of buildings are being covered in this Code by way of laying down minimum design loads which have to be assumed for dead loads, imposed loads, wind loads, snow loads and other external loads, the structure would be required to bear. Strict conformity to loading standards recommended in this Code, it is hoped, will not only ensure the structural safety of the buildings which are being designed and constructed in the country and thereby reduce the hazards to life and property caused by unsafe structures, but also eliminate the wastage caused by assuming unnecessarily heavy loadings. Notwithstanding what is stated regarding the structural safety of buildings, the application of the provisions should be carried out by competent and responsible structural designer who would satisfy himself that the structure designed in accordance with this code meets the desired performance requirements when the same is carried out according to specifications.

0.3 This Code was first published in 1957 for the guidance of civil engineers, designers and architects associated with the planning and design of buildings. It included the provisions for the basic design loads (dead loads, live loads, wind loads and seismic loads) to be assumed in the design of buildings. In its first revision in 1964, the wind pressure provisions were modified on the basis of studies of wind phenomenon and its effects on structures undertaken by the special committee in consultation with the Indian Meteorological Department. In addition to this, new clauses on wind loads for butterfly type structures were included; wind pressure coefficients for sheeted roofs, both curved and sloping, were modified; seismic load provisions were deleted (separate code having been prepared) and metric system of weights and measurements was adopted.

0.3.1 With the increased adoption of the Code, a number of comments were received on the provisions on live load values adopted for different occupancies. Simultaneously live loads surveys have been carried out in America, Canada and other countries to arrive at realistic live loads based on actual determination of loading (movable and immovable) in different occupancies. Keeping this in view and other developments in the field of wind engineering, the Sectional Committee responsible for the preparation of this standard has decided to prepare the second revision in the following five parts:

* Part 1 Dead Loads
* Part 2 Imposed Loads
* Part 3 Wind Loads
* Part 4 Snow Loads
* Part 5 Special Loads and Load Combinations

Earthquake load is covered in IS : 1893-1984* which should be considered along with the above loads.

0.3.2 This part (Part 4) deals with snow loads on roofs of buildings.

The committee responsible for the preparation of the code while reviewing the available snow-fall data, felt the paucity of data on which to make specific recommendations on the depth of ground snow load for different regions effected by snow-fall. In due course the characteristic

---

*Criteria for earthquake resistant designing of structures (fourth revision).
snow load on ground for different regions will be included based on studies.

0.4 This part is based on ISO 4355-1981 (E) ‘Basis for design of structures — Determination of snow loads on roofs’, issued by the International Organization for Standardization.

1. SCOPE

1.1 This standard (Part 4) deals with snow loads on roofs of buildings. Roofs should be designed for the actual load due to snow or for the imposed loads specified in Part 2 Imposed loads, whichever is more severe.

Note — Mountainous regions in northern parts of India are subjected to snow-fall.

In India, parts of Jammu and Kashmir (Baramulah District, Srinagar District, Anantnag District and Ladakh District); Punjab, Himachal Pradesh (Chamba, Kulu, Kinnaur District, Mahasu District, Mandi District, Sirpur District and Simla District); and Uttar Pradesh (Dehra Dun District, Tehri Garhwal District, Almora District and Nainital District) experience snow-fall of varying depths two to three times in a year.

2. NOTATIONS

\[ \mu \text{ (Dimensionless)} \] — Nominal values of the shape coefficients, taking into account snow drifts, sliding snow, etc., with subscripts, if necessary.

\[ h_i \text{ (in metres)} \] — Horizontal dimensions with numerical subscripts, if necessary.

\[ h_1 \text{ (in metres)} \] — Vertical dimensions with numerical subscripts, if necessary.

\[ \beta_i \text{ (in degrees)} \] — Roof slope.

\[ s_0 \text{ (in pascals)} \] — Snow load on ground.

\[ s_1 \text{ (in pascals)} \] — Snow load on roofs.

3. SNOW LOAD IN ROOF (S)

3.1 The minimum design snow load on a roof area or any other area above ground which is subjected to snow accumulation is obtained by multiplying the snow load on ground, \( s_0 \), by the shape coefficient \( \mu \), as applicable to the particular roof area considered.

\[ s = \mu s_0 \]

where

\[ s \] — design snow load in Pa on plan area of roof,

\[ \mu \] — shape coefficient (see 4), and

\[ s_0 \] — ground snow load in Pa (1 Pa = 1N/m²).

Note — Ground snow load at any place depends on the critical combination of the maximum depth of undisturbed aggregate cumulative snow-fall and its average density. In due course the characteristic snow load on ground for different regions will be included based on studies. Till such time the users of this standard are advised to contact either Snow and Avalanches Study Establishment (Defence Research and Development Organization) Manali (HP) or Indian Meteorological Department (IMD), Pune in the absence of any specific information for any location.

4. SHAPE COEFFICIENTS

4.1 General Principles

In perfectly calm weather, falling snow would cover roofs and the ground with a uniform blanket of snow and the design snow load could be considered as a uniformly distributed load. Truly uniform loading conditions, however, are rare and have usually only been observed in areas that are sheltered on all sides by high trees, buildings, etc. In such a case, the shape coefficient would be equal to unity.

In most regions, snow falls are accompanied or followed by winds. The winds will redistribute the snow and on some roofs, especially multi-level roofs, the accumulated drift load may reach a multiple of the ground load. Roofs which are sheltered by other buildings, vegetation, etc., may collect more snow load than the ground level. The phenomenon is of the same nature as that illustrated for multilevel roofs in 4.2.4.

So far sufficient data are not available to determine the shape coefficient in a statistical basis. Therefore, a nominal value is given. A representative sample of roof is shown in 4.2. However, in special cases such as strip loading, cleaning of the roof periodically by deliberate heating of the roof, etc., have to be treated separately.

The distribution of snow in the direction parallel to the eaves is assumed to be uniform.
4.2 Shape Coefficients for Selected Types of Roofs

4.2.1 Simple Flat and Monopitch Roofs

- Simple or Multiple Pitched Roofs
- Two-Span or Multi-span Roofs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle of Slope</th>
<th>Simple Flat and Monopitch Roofs</th>
<th>Simple Pitched Roofs (Positive Roof Slope)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0° &lt; β ≤ 30°</td>
<td>$\mu_1 = 0.8$</td>
<td>$\mu_2 = \frac{0.8 - \beta}{15}$, $\mu_1 = 0.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15° &lt; β ≤ 30°</td>
<td>$\mu_1 = 0.8$</td>
<td>$\mu_2 = 0.8 + 0.4 \left( \frac{\beta - 15}{15} \right)$, $\mu_1 = 0.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30° &lt; β ≤ 60°</td>
<td>$\mu_1 = 0.8 \left( \frac{60 - \beta}{30} \right)$, $\mu_1 = 0.8$</td>
<td>$\mu_2 = 1.2 \left( \frac{60 - \beta}{30} \right)$, $\mu_1 = 0.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β &gt; 60°</td>
<td>$\mu_1 = 0$</td>
<td>$\mu_2 = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2 Simple or Multiple Pitched Roofs (Negative Roof Slope)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle of Slope</th>
<th>Simple or Multiple Pitched Roofs</th>
<th>Two-Span or Multi-span Roofs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0° &lt; β &lt; 30°</td>
<td>$\mu_2 = 0.8 \left( \frac{30 + \beta}{30} \right)$, $\mu_1 = 0.8$</td>
<td>$\mu_2 = 0.8 \left( \frac{30 + \beta}{30} \right)$, $\mu_1 = 0.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30° &lt; β &lt; 60°</td>
<td>$\mu_2 = 1.6$, $\mu_1 = 0.8 \left( \frac{60 - \beta}{30} \right)$</td>
<td>$\mu_2 = 1.6$, $\mu_1 = 0.8 \left( \frac{60 - \beta}{30} \right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β &gt; 60°</td>
<td>$\mu_2 = 1.6$, $\mu_1 = 0$</td>
<td>$\mu_2 = 1.6$, $\mu_1 = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For asymmetrical simple pitched roofs, each side of the roof shall be treated as one half of corresponding symmetrical roofs.
4.2.3 Simple Curved Roofs

The following cases 1 and 2 must be examined:

Restriction:

\[ \mu \leq 2.3 \]

\[ \mu = 0 \text{ if } \beta > 60^\circ \]
4.2.4 Multilevel Roofs*

\[ \mu_s = 0.8 \]
\[ \mu_l = \mu_s + \mu_w \]

where
\[ \mu_s = \text{due to sliding} \]
\[ \mu_w = \text{due to wind} \]
\[ l_s = 2h \] but is restricted as follows:
\[ 5 \text{ m} < l_s < 15 \text{ m} \]
\[ \mu_w = \frac{l_l + l_s}{2h} \left( \frac{k}{s_0} \right) \]

with the restriction \( 0.8 < \mu_w < 4.0 \)

where
\[ h \] is in metres
\[ s_0 \] is in kilopascals (kilonewtons per square metre)
\[ k = 2 \text{ kN/m}^2 \]

\( \beta > 15^\circ : \mu_s \) is determined from an additional load amounting to 50 percent of the maximum total load on the adjacent slope of the upper roof, and is distributed linearly as shown on the figure.

\( \beta < 15^\circ : \mu_s = 0 \)

---

*A more extensive formula for \( \mu_w \) is described in Appendix A.
†If \( l_s < l_1 \), the coefficient \( \mu \) is determined by interpolation between \( \mu_s \) and \( \mu_s \).
‡The load on the upper roof is calculated according to 4.2.1 or 4.2.2.
4.2.5 Complex Multilevel Roofs

\[ l_1 = 2h_1; l_2 = 2h_2; \mu_i = 0.8 \]

Restriction:
- \( 5 \text{ m} < l_4 < 15 \text{ m} \);
- \( 5 \text{ m} < l_5 < 15 \text{ m} \);
- \( \mu_4 \) and \( \mu_5 \) (\( \mu_4 + \mu_5 \)), are calculated according to 4.2.1, 4.2.2 and 4.2.4.
4.2.6 Roofs with Local Projections and Obstructions

\[ \mu_f = \frac{kh}{s_o} \]

where
- \( h \) is in metres
- \( s_o \) is in kilopascals (kilonewtons per square metre)
- \( k = 2 \text{kN/m}^4 \)
- \( \mu_s = 0.8 \)
- \( l = 2h \)

Restrictions:
- \( 0.8 < \mu_s < 2.0 \)
- \( 5 \text{ m} < l < 15 \text{ m} \)

4.3 Shape Coefficients in Areas Exposed to Wind

The shape coefficients given in 4.2 and Appendix A may be reduced by 25 percent provided the designer has demonstrated that the following conditions are fulfilled:

a) The building is located in an exposed location such as open level terrain with only scattered buildings, trees or other obstructions so that the roof is exposed to the winds on all sides and is not likely to become shielded in the future by obstructions higher than the roof within a distance from the building equal to ten times the height of the obstruction above the roof level;

b) The roof does not have any significant projections such as parapet walls which may prevent snow from being blown off the roof.

Note — In some areas, winter climate may not be of such a nature as to produce a significant reduction of roof loads from the snow load on the ground. These areas are:

a) Winter calm valleys in the mountains where sometimes layer after layer of snow accumulates on roofs without any appreciable removal of snow by wind; and

b) Areas (that is, high temperature) where the maximum snow load may be the result of single snowstorm, occasionally without appreciable wind removal.

In such areas, the determination of the shape coefficients shall be based on local experience with due regard to the likelihood of wind drifting and sliding.

5. ICE LOAD ON WIRES

5.1 Ice loads are required to be taken into account in the design of overhead electrical-transmission and communication lines, overhead contact lines for electric traction, aerial masts and similar structures in zones subjected to ice formation. The thickness of ice deposit around may be taken to be between 3 and 10 mm depending upon the location of the structure. The mass density of ice may be assumed to be equal to 0.9 g/cm³. While considering the wind force on wires and cables, the increase in diameter due to ice formation shall be taken into consideration.
APPENDIX A

(Clauses 4.2.4 and 4.3)

SHAPE COEFFICIENTS FOR MULTILEVEL ROOFS

A more comprehensive formula for the shape coefficient for multilevel roofs than that given in 4.2.4 is as follows:

\[ \mu_w = 1 + \frac{1}{\bar{h}} (m_1 l_1 + m_2 l_2)(i_s - 2 h) \]

\[ \mu_s = 0.8 \]

\[ l_s = 2 \bar{h} \]

(h and I being in metres)

Restriction:

\[ \mu_w < \frac{kh}{s_0} \]

where

- \( \bar{s}_0 \) is in kilopascals (kilonewtons per square metre)
- \( k \) is in newtons per cubic metre
- \( \bar{h} < 15 \text{ m} \)

Values of \( m_1 \) ( \( m_2 \) ) for the higher (lower) roof depend on its profile and are taken as equal to:

- 0.5 for plane roofs with slopes \( \beta < 20^\circ \) and vaulted roofs with \( \frac{f}{l} < \frac{1}{8} \)
- 0.3 for plane roofs with slopes \( \beta > 20^\circ \) and vaulted roofs with \( \frac{f}{l} > \frac{1}{8} \)

The coefficients \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) may be adjusted to take into account conditions for transfer of snow on the roof surface (that is, wind, temperature, etc.).

Note — The other condition of loading also shall be tried.